Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3836-3 Filed: 08/12/21 1 of 6. PageID #: 516854

## **EXHIBIT B**

```
1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                  EASTERN DIVISION
 3
     IN RE: NATIONAL
                                )
 4
                                ) MDL No. 2804
     PRESCRIPTION
     OPIATE LITIGATION
 5
                                ) Case No.
                                  1:17-MD-2804
 6
     THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ) Hon. Dan A.
 7
     CASE TRACK THREE
                                ) Polster
 8
                FRIDAY, MAY 14, 2021
 9
      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
10
               CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
              Remote videotaped deposition of
13
    Nicole Harrington, held at the location of
14
    the witness in Nashua, New Hampshire,
15
    commencing at 9:07 a.m. Eastern Time, on the
16
    above date, before Carrie A. Campbell,
17
    Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified
18
    and Realtime Reporter.
19
20
21
22
             GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23
         877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                    deps@golkow.com
24
25
```

```
1
          reflection of a title that USA Today
 2
          wrote.
    QUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
 3
 4
                 Wait, that title is a
          Q.
 5
    reflection of a title USA Today wrote?
 6
          Α.
                 Yes, these are -- {audio
 7
    interruption | news articles. The titles were
 8
    by USA Today.
9
                 Well, is USA Today writing your
          Q.
10
    LinkedIn page, or did you write it?
11
                 That was just a hyperlinked
12
    article that I attached to the LinkedIn page.
13
                 Yeah. The key there is that
          Q.
14
    you attached. USA Today isn't hacking into
15
    your LinkedIn account using this stuff and
16
    posting, are they?
17
                 MR. HYNES: Objection. Form.
18
                 THE WITNESS: No. No, but they
19
          wrote the article and they wrote the
20
          title to the article. I was -- {audio
21
          interruption} -- for the word choice.
22
    QUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
23
                 All right. So can we at least
```

agree like this, that you repeatedly post on

the opioid epidemic using those words?

24

25

```
1
                 MR. HYNES: Objection. Form.
 2
    QUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
 3
                 Fair?
          Q.
 4
                 There are a few postings that
    have that language in it -- {audio
    interruption} the one that we referenced --
 6
 7
          Q.
                 You are --
                  -- was not written by me. It
 8
          Α.
 9
    was --
10
                 You were cutting in and out.
          Q.
11
                 MR. HYNES: Yeah, you're
12
          breaking up.
13
    QUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
14
                 Make sure we're clear.
          Ο.
15
                 Can we at least agree that you
16
    post on the opioid epidemic, sometimes with
17
    your own words, sometimes using words from
18
    other people that you grab and post, fair?
19
                 MR. HYNES: Objection. Form.
20
                  THE WITNESS: So I post on many
21
          topics. The words "opioid epidemic,"
22
          as we've talked about, appears in the
23
          language on some of those posts,
24
          whether it's language that I've
25
          written or it's language from others.
```

- 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- I see that that language -- I
- see that that language is accurate to
- 4 what's written on the page.
- 5 QUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
- 6 Q. Okay. So within the context of
- 7 this, you do not agree, and I need to make
- 8 that note on here, Nicole Harrington
- <sup>9</sup> disagrees.
- 10 So you, Nicole Harrington,
- 11 believe that CVS did all it could to reduce
- the growth of this tragic problem, correct?
- 13 A. Within the scope of our area of
- 14 responsibility, yes.
- Q. All right. Okay. Then I want
- 16 to divide this up into three different areas
- 17 to reference now.
- 18 First, how familiar are you
- with the relationship that CVS had with
- 20 Purdue, the manufacturer of OxyContin?
- 21 A. I am not very familiar with
- 22 that.
- Q. So I'm going to suggest to you
- 24 that I believe CVS and Purdue were
- hand-in-hand on opioid issues going back as

```
1
    far as 2001.
 2
                 You don't have great
 3
    familiarity with that right now; is that fair
 4
    to say?
 5
                 MR. HYNES: Objection.
 6
          Objection to form. Objection.
 7
          Foundation. Objection to counsel
          testifying.
 8
 9
                                I'm not familiar
                  THE WITNESS:
10
          with the relationship between CVS and
11
          Purdue, to the best of my
12
          recollection.
13
    OUESTIONS BY MR. LANIER:
14
                 But wouldn't you agree to the
          Ο.
15
    extent that you're in charge of regulating --
16
    not regulating, of -- let's get the words
17
    just right.
18
                  To the extent your
19
    responsibilities include educating others on
20
    regulatory compliance issues and making
21
    presentations that might be influential in
22
    negotiating reduced civil penalties,
23
    mitigating regulatory risks, don't you think
24
    that the relationship the company has with
25
    manufacturers of opiates might be important
```